

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addross: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.lspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/909,736   | 07/19/2001      | Young-Doo Jung       | 9903-19             | 2436             |
|  | 7590 04/24/2003 |                      |                     |                  |
| MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.<br>1030 S.W. Morrison Street |                 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| Portland, OR   |                 |                      | THAI, LUAN C        |                  |
|  |                 | ,                    | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |

2827 DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |                      |
|--|---|---|----------------------|
| Notice of Abandonia at   | 09/909,736  | JUNG ET AL.   |                      |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner  | Art Unit  | * **                 |
|  | Luan Thai   | 2827  |                      |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicat  |   | 2021<br>ith the correspondence addres                                   |                      |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |   | The die correspondence dadies   | ,3                   |
| 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the (a) A reply was received on (with a Certification period for reply (including a total extension of the content of the c        | cate of Mailing or Transmission date time of month(s)) which exp        | d), which is after the expirired on                                     |                      |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but  | it does not constitute a proper reply                                   | $^{\prime}$ under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the fi                            | nal rejection.       |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final application in condition for allowance; (2) a time Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with the compliance of the complian | iely filed Notice of Appeal (with app                                   | ly filed amendment which places<br>eal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requ | the<br>lest for      |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111  | constitute a proper reply, or a bona. (See explanation in box 7 below). | fide attempt at a proper reply, to                                      | the non-             |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received.  |   |   |                      |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (I)  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if application, which is after the expiration of the state (PTO) (PTO).   | PTOL-85).<br>ble, was received on         (with a                       | Certificate of Mailing or Transm  | nieeinn datad        |
| Allowance (PTOL-85).   |   | o loo (and publication lee) set in                                      | ule Nouce of         |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A   |   |   |                      |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_   | The publication fee, if require   | ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$   |                      |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable   | , has not been received.  | ,   |                      |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings Allowability (PTO-37).  |   |   |                      |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received or<br/>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>   | n (with a Certificate of Mailing  | g or Transmission dated), v   | which is             |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  |   |   |                      |
| 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed the applicants.   | d by the attorney or agent of record,                                   | , the assignee of the entire interes                                    | st, or all of        |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>  | d by an attorney or agent (acting in                                    | a representative capacity under 3                                       | 37 CFR               |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and I of the decision has expired and there are no allowed  | nterference rendered on and ed claims.                                  | because the period for seeking o  | court review         |
| 7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:  |   |   |                      |
| During the interview with Mr. Scott Schaffer or  | n 4/14/03, applicant intended to  | abandon the case.   |                      |
|  |   |   |                      |
|  |   | West 1  | TANK TANK            |
|  |   | DAVID L. TALBOTT  |                      |
|  |   | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM   |                      |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to minimize any negative effects on patent term.   | withdraw the holding of abandonment u                                   | TECHNOLOGY CENTER 28<br>nder 37 CFR 1.181, should be promp              | 100<br>otly filed to |
| .S. Patent and Trademark Office<br>PTO-1432 (Rev. 04-01)   | Notice of Abandonment   | Part of Paper No. 4   |                      |